

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/655,985	09/05/2003	Guoming G. Zhu	46107-0091	8945	
7590 03/01/2006			EXAMINER		
Douglas A. Mullen			NGHIEM, MICHAEL P		
Dickinson Wright PLLC Suite 800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1901 L Street, N.W.			2863		
Washington, DC 20036			DATE MAILED: 03/01/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/655,985	ZHU ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Michael P. Nghiem	2863		

	Michael P. Nghiem	2863	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 13 February 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (	idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b)  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origon than three months after the mailing date.	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS	μοιου σοι τοι ποι	.,	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further contains		<del></del>	ecause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	•	,,	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13	<ol><li>See attached Notice of Non-Co</li></ol>	empliant Amendment (	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		•	<u>-</u>
7. Yes For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	•	Il be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: <u>2-9 and 11-14</u> .			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>17 and 20</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>16,18 and 19</u> .			
Claim(s) rejected. <u>10, 10 and 19</u> .  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	<b>₩</b>	• •	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	•		
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	
13. 🗆 Other:	GHIEM CAMINER 2/24/06		
MICHAEL N DRIMARY EX	CAMINER		
f. I m.	21741 V		

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Amendment to claim 18, "said reset input being capable of resetting said integrator" raises new issue of definition over the prior art of record.